DEFINITION OF THE EVALUATION DOMAIN

Adult General Education

Diversified Basic Education Program Computer Science

CREATING A DATABASE

CMP-5072-2

September 2018



Table of Contents

Introduction	
Evaluation Content	2
Explanation of the Evaluation Content	3
Evaluation Criteria	
Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge	3
Weighting	
Knowledge	4
Specifications for the Evaluation Instruments	
Examination: Number of Parts, Sections, Procedure and Duration	
Examination Content	
Information-Gathering Tools	5
Authorized Materials	5
Assessment Tools	6
Pass Mark	6
Retakes	6
Appendix	7
Criterion-referenced rubrics	

Introduction

The Definition of the Evaluation Domain (DED) ensures consistency between a course and the related evaluation instruments. The DED is used to select, organize and describe the essential and representative elements of the course. The DED is based on the program of study and the course, but should by no means replace them in the planning of instructional activities.

All the DEDs produced after June 30, 2014, by the Ministère de l'Éducation et de l'Enseignement supérieur (MEES) are prescriptive. Consequently, they are the reference documents to be used in the development of all examinations, be they ministerial examinations or those developed by adult education centres or by Société GRICS (BIM). The DEDs thus serve as a model for preparing multiple equivalent versions of examinations that are valid across the province.1

In addition, as set out in the Policy on the Evaluation of Learning, adult learners must know what they will be evaluated on and what is expected of them.² The DEDs and the criterion-referenced rubrics are recommended for this purpose.

2.

^{1.} Québec, Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec, Policy on the Evaluation of Learning (Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2003), 47.

Ibid., 9.

Evaluation Content

General Information

Broad Areas of Learning³

- · Career Planning and Entrepreneurship
- Environmental Awareness and Consumer Rights and Responsibilities

Subject Area

Mathematics, Science and Technology

Families of Situations

- Creation
 - Creates by correctly using the appropriate functions
 - Acts prudently by adopting safe behaviours
- Critical thinking
 - Evaluates his/her work by setting quality standards
 - Validates information by using validation criteria

Program of Study

• Computer Science

Course

Creating a Database

Essential Elements Targeted by the Evaluation

Subject-Specific Competencies

- 2. Produces computerized documents
- 3. Adopts behaviours that reflect a concern for ethics, safety and critical thinking

Categories of Knowledge

- Main objects of a database
- Concepts associated with relational databases
- Standard terminology associated with relational databases
- Prior needs analysis
- Creating a relational database using a prior analysis
- Designing a relational schema using a needs analysis

Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria for Competency 2

- 2.1 Thorough planning of the work
- 2.2 Appropriate formatting based on document type
- 2.3 Application of appropriate tools and functions
- 2.4 Rigorous compliance with the constraints identified

Evaluation Criteria for Competency 3

- 3.1 Appropriate adoption of ethical and safe behaviours
- 3.2 Judicious integration of information in accordance with the constraints identified

Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge

Proficiency in subject-specific knowledge presupposes its acquisition, understanding, application and mobilization, and is therefore linked with the evaluation criteria for the competencies.

^{3.} The broad areas of learning are stated exactly as in the course. However, the person who designs the evaluation instrument may choose other broad areas of learning.

Explanation of the Evaluation Content

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria are stated exactly as in the course.

The examination focuses little, if at all, on criterion 3.1. To make a judgment related to this criterion, the teacher should observe the adult learner in the classroom. Of course, any observations made during the examination should also be taken into account.⁴

Information Clarifying the Evaluation Criteria

2.1 Thorough planning of the work

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to determine the elements needed to produce the document.

2.2 Appropriate formatting based on document type

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to apply the appropriate format to the objects of a database.

2.3 Application of appropriate tools and functions

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to use the commands and functions needed to create and modify a database and its main objects.

2.4 Rigorous compliance with the constraints identified

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to present a document compliant with the requirements of the task and the related quality standards.

3.1 Appropriate adoption of ethical and safe behaviours

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to act in accordance with a personal sense of ethics and a concern for the safety of data.

3.2 Judicious integration of information in accordance with the constraints identified

This criterion evaluates the adult learner's ability to test his/her database and to make the necessary corrections, if applicable.

Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge

Proficiency in subject-specific knowledge is assessed through the evaluation of competencies, using tasks related to the evaluation criteria.

Definition of the Evaluation Domain

^{4.} Since this criterion is part of the evaluation for the purpose of certification, the teacher should make a judgment concerning the adult learner's ability **at the end of the course**. The mark given should not be based on several evaluations done at different times during the course.

Weighting

The weighting for the evaluation of the competencies is determined in accordance with the relative importance of each competency in the course. The competencies are weighted as follows:

Competency 2, Produces computerized documents: 75%

Competency 3, Adopts behaviours that reflect a concern for ethics, safety and critical thinking: 25%

The weighting of the evaluation criteria appears in the assessment tools provided in the *Correction and Evaluation Guide*. Adult learners must be made aware of the evaluation criteria used to evaluate them and the corresponding weighting of each criterion.

Knowledge

The following table presents the prescribed knowledge selected for the examination. However, in special cases, particularly when an application does not have the tools and commands needed to acquire all of the prescribed knowledge, the missing items can be replaced with equivalent knowledge.

The examination must require adult learners to apply a representative sample of the knowledge indicated in the table.

Subject-Specific Content

s between tables
ta pes of data ata redundancy (unnormalized) normalized relational schema (without data within and between tables)
ble and choosing the field properties elect query (sorting, without criteria) ns port

Specifications for the Evaluation Instruments

Examination: Number of Parts, Sections, Procedure and Duration

The examination is administered in one evaluation session. Adult learners are responsible for managing the time available to them.

Duration: 180 minutes

Examination Content

The task consists in producing a document requiring the creation of a database using a database application.⁵

Information-Gathering Tools

- Adult's Booklet
- The adult learner's production (computerized document)
- The printed sheets, if applicable

Authorized Materials

- Database application installed on a computer or other device*
- Internet access
- Printer connected to the device
- Removable medium (or storage space) containing the files needed for the production, if applicable
- Personal course notes
- Reference documents (paper or electronic format)

Definition of the Evaluation Domain

^{*} Necessary materials

^{5.} The goal of this course is to teach adult learners how to use a database application. It is up to the educational institution to choose the application that enables learners to explore most of the prescribed knowledge for the course.

Assessment Tools

For the evaluation of Competency 2, *Produces computerized documents*, and Competency 3, *Adopts behaviours that reflect a concern for ethics, safety and critical thinking*, the criterion-referenced rubric (one for each competency) is the assessment tool used by the teacher. Criterion-referenced interpretation involves comparing the information gathered with the expected outcomes.⁶ These rubrics are prescriptive and include the following rating scale:

Competency development:

- Advanced
- > Thorough
- > Acceptable
- Partial
- Minimal

A checklist is provided in the *Correction and Evaluation Guide* to help markers use the criterion-referenced rubrics.

Pass Mark


The pass mark is 60%.

Retakes

The adult learner must retake the entire examination.

Definition of the Evaluation Domain

^{6.} Québec, Ministère de l'Éducation, *Policy on the Evaluation of Learning* (Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2003), 28-29.



Adult General Education

EVALUATION
Criterion-Referenced Rubrics
Adult learner's name
Teacher's name
Date

Diversified Basic Education Program Computer Science

> Course Creating a Database CMP-5072-2

Competency 2: Produces Computerized Documents (75%)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark that corresponds to the assigned rating(s). The only mark that can be allotted for a given level is that indicated in the rubric.

Rating scale Evaluation criteria	Advanced competency development	Thorough competency development	Acceptable competency development	Partial competency development	Minimal competency development	Mark
2.1 Thorough planning of the work	Planning, whether in the form of a text, list, sketch or other, is very detailed, and all the required elements are taken into account.	Planning, whether in the form of a text, list, sketch or other, is sufficiently detailed, and almost all the required elements are taken into account.	Planning, whether in the form of a text, list, sketch or other, is partially complete, but most of the required elements are taken into account.	Planning, whether in the form of a text, list, sketch or other, is limited, and most of the required elements are missing.	Planning, whether in the form of a text, list, sketch or other, is very limited.	/15
	15	12	9	6	3	
	Layout of information in the tables and forms is fully appropriate.	Layout of information in the tables and forms is very appropriate.	Layout of information in the tables and forms is appropriate.	Layout of information in the tables and forms is seldom appropriate.	Layout of information in the tables and forms is rarely appropriate.	
2.2	10	8	6	4	2	
Appropriate formatting based on document type	Data formatting elements (formatting of numbers, alignment, shading, etc.) are fully appropriate.	Data formatting elements (formatting of numbers, alignment, shading, etc.) are very appropriate.	Data formatting elements (formatting of numbers, alignment, shading, etc.) are appropriate.	Data formatting elements (formatting of numbers, alignment, shading, etc.) are seldom appropriate.	Data formatting elements (formatting of numbers, alignment, shading, etc.) are very seldom appropriate.	/20
	10	8	6	4	2	

Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.

Competency 2: Produces Computerized Documents (75%) (cont.)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark that corresponds to the assigned rating(s). The only mark that can be allotted for a given level is that indicated in the rubric.

Rating scale Evaluation criteria	Advanced competency development	Thorough competency development	Acceptable competency development	Partial competency development	Minimal competency development	Mark
2.3 Application of appropriate tools and functions	The final product demonstrates a very high level of proficiency in the use of commands and functions.	The final product demonstrates a high level of proficiency in the use of commands and functions.	The final product demonstrates proficiency in the use of commands and functions.	The final product demonstrates a low level of proficiency in the use of commands and functions.	The final product demonstrates a very low level of proficiency in the use of commands and functions.	/20
2.4 Rigorous compliance with the constraints identified	The final product takes into account all of the task requirements and quality standards.	The final product takes into account almost all of the task requirements and quality standards.	The final product takes into account most of the task requirements and quality standards.	The final product takes into account few of the task requirements and quality standards.	The final product takes into account very few of the task requirements and quality standards.	_/20
Mark for competency 2:					/75	

Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.

Competency 3: Adopts behaviours that reflect a concern for ethics, safety and critical thinking (25%)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark that corresponds to the assigned rating(s). The only mark that can be allotted for a given level is that indicated in the rubric.

Mark for competency 3:					/25	
	15	12	9	6	3	
3.2 Judicious integration of information in accordance with the constraints identified	Data is always processed (addition, modification and deletion of data, creation of tables, forms, etc.) without any errors.	Data is almost always processed (addition, modification and deletion of data, creation of tables, forms, etc.) without any errors.	Data is often processed (addition, modification and deletion of data, creation of tables, forms, etc.) without any errors.	Data is seldom processed (addition, modification and deletion of data, creation of tables, forms, etc.) without any errors.	Data is rarely processed (addition, modification and deletion of data, creation of tables, forms, etc.) without any errors.	/15
	10	8	6	4	2	
3.1 Appropriate adoption of ethical and safe behaviours	Always handles data so as to prevent loss and ensure the confidentiality of personal information.	Almost always handles data so as to prevent loss and ensure the confidentiality of personal information.	Often handles data so as to prevent loss and ensure the confidentiality of personal information.	Seldom handles data so as to prevent loss and ensure the confidentiality of personal information.	Rarely handles data so as to prevent loss and ensure the confidentiality of personal information.	/10
Rating scale Evaluation criteria	Advanced competency development	Thorough competency development	Acceptable competency development	Partial competency development	Minimal competency development	Mark

Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.